IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

C.R. No. 121 of 2005
Date of decision January 15, 2007

Madhu Sudan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present:- Sh. R. S. Athwal , Advocate
for the appellant.

Sh. B. S. Walia, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Sh. Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

****

The petitioner before this Court is a judgment debtor. The objections filed by him have been dismissed by the Executing Court. The appeal filed thereafter by the judgment debtor-objector has also been dismissed by the appellate Court.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the findings recorded by the two Courts below, I find that the petitioner-judgment debtor is merely trying to prolong the litigation, inasmuch as, he has already lost in the main suit and the decree has attained finality. It is also apparent from the record that the auction of the property belonging to the petitioner had taken place on January 18, 2003 and respondent No.2 has purchased the suit property in Court auction.

There is no ground to interfere in the present petition.

Dismissed.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2007
archana